

Bibliography on Historiography, the Genre of Luke-Acts and Luke 1,1-4

- S.A. Adams, ‘Luke’s Preface and its Relationship to Greek Historiography: A Response to Loveday Alexander’, *JGRChJ* 3 (2006), 177-191.
- S.A. Adams, ‘Lucian and the New Testament: An Evaluation of His Life, Work and Relationship to New Testament Studies’, *ExpTim* 121/12 (2010), 594-600.
- S.A. Adams, ‘The Genre of Luke and Acts: The State of the Question’, in: S.A. Adams & M. Pahl (ed.), *Issues in Luke-Acts. Selected Essays* (Gorgias Handbooks 26), Piscataway 2012, 97-120.
- S.A. Adams, ‘The Relationships of Paul and Luke: Luke, Paul’s Letters and the “We”-Passages of Acts’, in: S.E. Porter & C.D. Land (eds.), *Paul and His Social Relations* (PAST 7), Leiden & Boston 2013, 125-142.
- S.A. Adams, *The Genre of Acts and Collected Biography* (SNTS Mon. Series 156), Cambridge 2013.
- S.A. Adams, ‘Luke and the Progymnasata: Rhetorical Handbooks, Rhetorical Sophistication, and Genre Selection’, in: M.R. Haugen & A.W. Pitts (eds.), *Ancient Education and Early Christianity* (LNTS 533), London & New York 2016, 137-154.
- D.E. Aune, ‘Luke-Acts and Ancient Historiography’, in: *The New Testament in Its Literary Environment* (Library of Early Christianity 8), Philadelphia 1989, 77-115.
- D.E. Aune, ‘Luke 1,1-4: Historical or Scientific Prooimion?’ in: A. Christophersen e.a. (ed.), *Paul, Luke and the Graeco-Roman World*. Fs. A.J.M. Wedderburn (JSNT Sup. Series 217), Sheffield 2002, 138-148.
- L. Alexander, ‘Luke’s Preface in the Context of Greek Preface-Writing’, *NovT* 28 (1986), 48-74.
- L.C.A. Alexander, ‘Ancient Biography and the Social Function of Luke-Acts’, *EvQ* 66 (1994), 73-76.
- L. Alexander, *The Preface to Luke’s Gospel. Literary convention and social context in Luke 1,1-4 and Acts 1,1* (SNTS Mon. Series 78), Cambridge (1993) 2005.
- L. Alexander, ‘The Preface to Acts and the Historians’, in: B. Witherington III (ed.), *History, Literature, and Society in the Book of Acts*, Cambridge 1996, 73-103.
- L. Alexander, ‘Fact, Fiction and the Genre of Acts’, *NTS* 44 (1998), 380-399.
- L. Alexander, ‘Formal Elements and Genre: Which Greco-Roman Prologues Most Closely Parallel the Lukan Prologues?’ in: D.P. Moessner (ed.), *Jesus and the Heritage of Israel: Luke’s Narrative Claim upon Israel’s Legacy*, Harrisburg 1999, 1999, 9-26.
- L. Alexander, ‘What if Luke never met Theophilus’, *BibInt* 8 (2000), 161-170.
- L. Alexander, ‘Acts and Ancient Intellectual Biography’, in: L. Alexander, *Acts in its Ancient Literary Context: A Classicist Look at the Acts of the Apostles* (LNTS 289), New York (1993) 2005, 43-68.
- K. Backhaus, ‘Lukas der Maler: Die Apostelgeschichte als intentionale Geschichte der christlichen Erstepochen’, in: K. Backhaus & G. Häfner, *Historiografie und fiktionales Erzählen: Zur Konstruktivität in Geschichtstheorie und Exegese* (BThS 86), Neukirchen-Vluyn 2009², 30-66.
- K. Backhaus, ‘Asphaleia: Lukanische Geschichtsschreibung im Rahmen des antiken Wahrheitsdiskurses’, in: E. Ebel & S. Vollenweider (Hg.), *Wahrheit und Geschichte: Exegetische und hermeneutische Studien zur einer dialektischen Konstellation* (ATHANT 102), Zürich 2012, 79-108.
- D.L. Balch, ‘Acts as Hellenistic Historiography’, *SBLSP* 1985, 429-432.
- D.L. Balch, ‘Comments on the Genre and a Political Theme of Luke-Acts: A Preliminary Comparison of Two Hellenistic Historians’, *SBLSP* 1989, 343-361.
- D.L. Balch, ‘The Genre of Luke-Acts: Individual Biography, Adventure Novel, or Political History’, *SJT* 33 (1990), 5-19.
- D.L. Balch, ‘ἀκριβῶς γράψαι (Luke 1:3): To Write the Full History of God’s Receiving All Nations’, in: D.P. Moessner (ed.), *Jesus and the Heritage of Israel: Luke’s Narrative Claim upon Israel’s Legacy*, Harrisburg 1999, 63-83.
- A.K. Bale, *Genre and Narrative Coherence in the Acts of the Apostles* (LNTS 514), London 2015.
- D.L. Barr & J.L. Wentling, ‘The Conventions of Classical Biography and the Genre of Luke-Acts’, in: C.H. Talbert (ed.), *Luke-Acts: New Perspectives from the Society of Biblical Literature Seminar*, New York 1984, 63-88.
- C.K. Barrett, ‘Quomodo historia consribenda sit’, *NTS* 28/3 (1982), 303-320.
- C.K. Barrett, ‘The Third Gospel as Preface to Acts? Some Reflections’, in: F. van Segbroeck e.a. (eds.), *The Four Gospels* 1992 Vol II. Fs. F. Neirynck (BETL 100), Leuven 1992, 1451-1466.

- A.D. Baum, ‘Der Lukasprolog als historiographisches Programm’, in: *Lukas als Historiker der letzten Jesusreise*, Wuppertal 1993, 39-154.
- A.D. Baum, ‘Lk 1,1-4 zwischen antiker Historiographie und Fachprosa’, *ZNW* 101 (2010), 33-54.
- A.D. Baum, ‘Das Verhältnis der Apostelgeschichte zu Epos und „Roman“ aus der Perspektive der antiken Literaturtheorie’, *ETL* 91/4 (2015), 601-626.
- J. Bauer, ‘ΠΙΟΛΛΟΙ Luk 1,1’, *NovT* 4 (1960), 263-266.
- M. Bauspieß, *Geschichte und Erkenntnis im lukanischen Doppelwerk: Eine exegetische Untersuchung zu einer christlichen Perspektiven auf Geschichte* (ABG 42) Leipzig 2012.
- E.-M. Becker, *The Birth of Christian History: Memory and Time from Mark to Luke-Acts*, New Haven & London 2017.
- F. Blass, ‘The Proem of St. Luke’, in: *Philology of the Gospels*, Amsterdam 1969, 7-20.
- D.L. Bock, ‘Understanding Luke’s Task: Carefully Building on Precedent (Luke 1:1-4)’, *CTR* 5/2 (1991), 183-201.
- M.P. Bonz, *The Past as Legacy: Luke-Acts and Ancient Epic*, Minneapolis 2000.
- D. Brack, *Luke’s Legato Historiographie: Remembering the Continuity of Salvation History through Rhetorical Transitions*, Eugene 2017.
- S. Brown, ‘The Role of the Prologues in Determining the Purpose of Luke-Acts’, in: C.H. Talbert (ed.), *Perspectives in Luke-Acts*, Danville & Edinburgh 1978, 99-111.
- R.A. Burridge, *What Are the Gospels? A Comparison with Graeco-Roman Biography*, Grand Rapids 2004².
- R.A. Burridge, ‘Reading the Gospels as Biography’, in: B.C. McGing & J. Mossman (ed.), *The Limits of Ancient Biography*, Swansea 2006, 31-50.
- R.A. Burridge, ‘The Genre of Acts – Revisited’, in: S. Walton e.a. (ed.), *Reading Acts Today: Essays in Honor of Loveday C.A. Alexander* (LNTS 427), London & New York 2011, 3-28.
- H.J. Cadbury, ‘The Purpose Expressed in Luke’s Preface’, *The Expositor* 8/21 (1921), 431-441.
- H.J. Cadbury, ‘The Knowledge Claimed in Luke’s Preface’, *The Expositor* 8/24 (1922), 401-420.
- H.J. Cadbury, ‘“We” and ‘I’ Passages in Luke-Acts’, *NTS* 3 (1956-1957), 128-132.
- H.J. Cadbury, *The Making of Luke-Acts*, London (1927) 1968, 344-348.358-359.
- H.J. Cadbury, ‘Commentary on the Preface of Luke’, in: F.J. Foakes Jackson & K. Lake, *The Acts of the Apostles. Vol II: Prolegomena II: Criticism*, Grand Rapids 1979, 489-510.
- T. Callan, ‘The Preface of Luke-Acts and Historiography’, *NTS* 31 (1985), 576-581.
- R. Cameron, ‘“What Have You Come Out To See?” Characterization of John and Jesus in the Gospels’, *Semeia* 49 (1990), 35-96.
- H. Cancik, ‘Geschichtsschreibung’, *Neues Bibel-Lexikon* I (1991), 813-822
- H. Cancik, ‘The History of Culture, Religion, and Institutions in Ancient Historiography: Philological Observations Concerning Luke’s History’, *JBL* 116/4 (1997), 673-695.
- H. Cancik, ‘Das Geschichtswerk des Lukas als Institutionsgeschichte: Die Vorbereitung des Zweiten Logos im Ersten’, in: J. Frey e.a. (Hg.), *Die Apostelgeschichte im Kontext antiker und frühchristlicher Historiographie* (BZNW 162), Berlin & New York 2009, 519-538.
- H. Cancik, ‘Haeresis, Diatribe, Ekklesia, Griechische Schulgeschichte und das Lukanische Geschichtswerk’, *Early Christianity* 2 (2011), 312-334.
- A.Y. Collins, ‘The Genre and the Gospels’, *JR* 75 (1995), 239-246.
- J.N. Collins, ‘Rethinking “Eyewitnesses” in the Light of ‘Servants of the Word’ (Luke 1,2)’, *ExpT* 12/9 (2010), 447-452.
- J.M. Creamer, A.B. Spencer & F.P. Vijoen, ‘Who is Theophilus? Discovering the Original Reader of Luke-Acts’, *In die Skriflig* 48/1 (2014), 1-7.
- R.R. Creech, ‘The Most Excellent Narratee: The Significance of Theophilus in Luke-Acts’, in: N.H. Keathley (ed.), *With Steadfast Purpose. Essays on Acts*. Fs. H.J. Flanders, Waco 1990, 107-126.
- B.D. Crowe, ‘The Sources for Luke and Acts: Where Did Luke Get His Material (and Why Does it Matter)?’ in: S.A. Adams & M. Pahl (ed.), *Issues in Luke-Acts. Selected Essays* (Gorgias Handbooks 26), Piscataway 2012, 73-95.
- M. Dévoldère, ‘La prologue du troisième évangile’, *NRT* 56 (1929), 714-719.
- M. Dibelius, ‘Der erste christliche Historiker’, in: *Aufsätze zur Apostelgeschichte* (FRLANT 60), Göttingen 1951, 108-119.
- M. Diefenbach, ‘Das Lukasevangelium und die antike Rhetorik’, *SNTU* 18 (1993), 151-161.
- R.J. Dillon, ‘Previewing Luke’s Project from His Prologue (Luke 1:1-14)’, *CBQ* 43 (1981), 205-227.

- E. von Dobschütz, ‘Vom reinen Wort Gottes und dem Lucas-Prolog’, in: S.J. Case (ed.), *Studies in Early Christianity*, New York 1928, 61-82.
- D. Dormeyer, ‘Des Josephus zwei *suasoriae* (Übungsreden). Über das Volk der Juden. Die beiden Vorworte (Proömien) Contra Apionem 1:1-5; 2:1-7 und die beiden Vorworte Lukas 1,1-4; Acta 1,1-14’, in: J.U. Kalms (Hg.), *Internationales Josephus-Kolloquium Amsterdam* (MJS 10), Münster 2001, 241-261.
- A.J. Droge, ‘The Interpretation of the History of Culture in Hellenistic-Jewish Historiography’, *SBL SP* 1984, 158-159.
- A.J. Droge, ‘Did „Luke“ Write Anonymously? Lingering at the Threshold’, in: J. Frey e.a. (ed.), *Die Apostelgeschichte im Kontext antiker und frühchristlicher Historiographie* (BZNW 162), Berlin & New York 2009, 495-518.
- R.R. Dupertuis & T. Penner, *Engaging Christian History: Reading Acts in the Second Century*, Durham 2013.
- M. Earl, ‘Prologue-form in Ancient Historiography’, *ANRW I.2*, 842-846.
- C.A. Evans, ‘Luke and the Rewritten Bible: Aspects of Lucan Historiography’: in: J.H. Charlesworth & C.A. Evans (ed), *The Pseudepigrapha and Early Biblical Interpretation* (JSPES 14), Sheffield 1993, 170-201.
- P. Felix, ‘Literary Dependence and Luke’s Prologue’, *TMSJ* 8/1 (1997), 62-82.
- C. Ferone, ‘Der Prolog des Lukasevangeliums (1,1-4) und die griechische Geschichtsschreibung’, *Gymnasium* 109 (2002), 323-329.
- M. Finley, *The Portable Greek Historians: The Essence of Herodotus, Thucydides, Xenophon, Polybius*, New York 1971.
- B.C. Frein, ‘Genre and Point of View in Luke’s Gospel’, *BTB* 38 (2008), 4-13.
- J. Frey, ‘Fragen um Lukas als „Historiker“ und den historiographischen Charakter der Apostelgeschichte: Einen thematische Annäherung’, in: J. Frey e.a. (Hg.), *Die Apostelgeschichte im Kontext antiker und frühchristlicher Historiographie* (BZNW 162), Berlin & New York 2009, 1-20.
- B.W.W. Fung, A.B Spencer & F.P. Viljoen, ‘What does καθεξῆς in Luke 1:4 mean? Discovering the writing order of the Gospel of Luke’, *In die Skriflig/In Luce Verbi* 51/1 (2017), 1-10.
- E.J. Goodspeed, ‘Was Theophilus Luke’s Publisher’, *JBL* 73 (1954), 84-92.
- L.L. Grabbe, ‘Jewish Historiography and Scripture in the Hellenistic Period’, in: L.L. Grabbe (ed.), *Did Moses Speak Attic? Jewish Historiography and Scripture in the Hellenistic Period* (JSOTSup 317), Sheffield 2001, 130-155.
- V. Gray, ‘Mimesis in Greek Historical Theory’, *AJP* 8 (1987), 382-401.
- E. Güttgemanns, ‘In welchem Sinne ist Lukas “Historiker”? Die Beziehungen von Luk 1,1-4 und Papias zur antiken Rhetorik’, *LingBib* 53 (1983), 9-26.
- R. Hall, *Revealed Histories: Techniques for Ancient Jewish and Christian Historiography*, London e.a. 2015.
- Th.R. Hatina, ‘Historiography’, in: *Encyclopedia of the Bible and Its Reception* 11 (2015), 1-8.
- C. Heil & T. Klampfl, ‘Theophilus (Lk 1,3; Apg 1,1)’, in: C.G. Müller (Hg.), »Licht zur Erleuchtung der Heiden und Herrlichkeit für dein Volk Israel«. FS. J. Zmijewski (BBB 151), Hamburg 2005, 7-28.
- C.J. Hemer, ‘Luke the Historian’, *BJRL* 60 (1977-1978), 28-51.
- C.J. Hemer, *The Book of Acts in the Setting of Hellenistic History*, Winona Lake 1990.
- M. Hengel, *Zur urchristlichen Geschichtsschreibung*, Stuttgart 1979.
- M. Hengel, ‘Der Lukasprolog und seine Augenzeugen: Die Apostel, Petrus und die Frauen’, in: S.C. Barton e.a. (ed.), *Memory in the Bible and Antiquity*. The Fifth Durham-Tübingen Research Symposium (Durham, September 2004) (WUNT 212), Tübingen 2007, 195-242.
- A.J.B. Higgins, ‘The Preface to Luke and the Kerugma in Acts’, in: W.W. Gasque & R.P. Martin (eds.), *Apostolic History and the Gospel*. Fs. F.F. Bruce, Exeter 1970, 78-91.
- H. Hoet, ‘Waarom schreef Lucas geschiedenis,’ in: P. Schmidt (red.), *Eén Auteur, Twee Boeken: Lucas en de Handelingen van de Apostelen*, Leuven e.a. 1992, 55-75.
- S. Hornblower, *Greek Historiography*, Oxford 1993.
- C.S. Keener, ‘First-Person Claims in Some Ancient Historians and Acts’, *JGRCJ* 10 (2014), 9-23.
- C.S. Keener, ‘Luke-Acts as Biohistory’, in: *Christobiography: Memory, History, and the Reliability of the Gospels*, Grand Rapids 2019, 272-293.
- G. Klein, ‘Lukas 1,1-4 als theologisches Programm’, in: G. Braumann (Hg.), *Das Lukasevangelium: Die Redaktions- und Kompositionsgeschichtliche Forschung* (WB 280), Darmstadt 1974, 170-203.

- G. Klein, ‘Der Zweck des lukanischen Doppelwerks’, *BZ NF* 21 (1977), 45-66.
- M. Korn, ‘Das Verhältnis von Lukasevangelium und Apostelgeschichte nach Lk 1,1-4’, in: *Die Geschichte Jesu in veränderter Zeit: Studien zur bleibenden Bedeutung Jesu im lukanischen Doppelwerk* (WUNT II/51), Tübingen 1993, 6-32.
- J. Kürzinger, ‘Lk 1,3: ... ἀκριβῶς καθεξῆς σοι γράγατι’, *BZ NF* 18 (1974), 249-255.
- D. Lee, *Luke-Acts and ‘Tragic History’: Communicating Gospel with the World* (WUNT II/346), Tübingen 2013.
- K.D. Litwak, ‘Περὶ τῶν πεπληροφορημένων ἐν ἡμῖν προγμάτων: Concerning the Things Fulfilled or Accomplished?’ *RB* 113/1 (2006), 37-52.
- G.J. Lockwood, ‘The Reference to Order in Luke’s Preface’, *CTQ* 59/1-2 (1995), 101-104.
- K. Löning, ‘Die Gewissheit der Worte: Gattung, Thema und Intention des lukanischen Geschichtswerk nach Lk 1,1-4’, in: *Das Geschichtswerk des Lukas: Band I Israels Hoffnung und Gottes Geheimnisse*, Stuttgart e.a. 1997, 19-25.
- Lucianus, *Hoe word ik een goed historicus* (= *Quomodo historia conscribenda sit*) (vertaald en ingeleid door G.H. de Vries), Amsterdam 2007.
- P.L. Maier, ‘Luke as a Hellenistic Historian’, in: S.E. Porter & A.W. Pitts (ed), *Christian Origins and Greco-Roman Culture: Social and Literary Contexts for the New Testament* (Early Christianity in its Hellenistic Context 1), Leiden & Boston 2012, 413-434.
- D. Marguerat, *The First Christian Historian: Writing the ‘Acts of the Apostles* (SNTS Mon. Series 121), Cambridge 2002.
- J. Marincola, *Authority and Tradition in Ancient Historiography*, Cambridge 1997.
- J. Marincola, ‘Genre, Convention, and Innovation in Greco-Roman Historiography’, in: C.S. Kraus (ed.), *The Limits of Historiography: Genre and Narrative in Ancient Historical Texts*, Leiden ea. 1999, 281-324.
- J. Marincola (ed.), *A Companion to Greek and Roman Historiography*, Chichester 2008.
- J. Marincola, *On Writing History from Herodotus to Herodian*, London 2018.
- I.H. Marshall, *Luke: Historian & Theologian*, London (1970) 1997.
- I.H. Marshall, ‘Acts and the “Former Treatise”’, in: B.W. Winter & A.D. Clarke (ed.), *The Book of Acts in its First Century Setting. I. The Book of Acts in its Literary Setting*, Grand Rapids 1993, 163-182.
- M.W. Martin, ‘Progymnastic Topic Lists: A Compositional Template for Luke and Other *Bioi?*’ *NTS* 54 (2008), 18-41.
- S. Mason, *Luke-Acts and Contemporary Historiography*, lezing op het Pontifical Biblical Institute (2019-01-25), Rome 2019.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘The Meaning of καθεξῆς in the Lukan Prologue as a Key to the distinctive Contribution of Luke’s Narrative among the ‘Many’’, in: F. van Segbroeck e.a. (eds.), *The Four Gospels* 1992. Vol. II. Fs. F. Neirynck (BETL 100), Leuven 1992, 1513-1528.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘Eyewitness’, ‘Informed Contemporaries’ and ‘Unknowing Inquirers’: Josephus’ Criteria for Authentic Historiography and the Meaning of παρακολουθέω, *NovT* 38/2 (1996), 105-122.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘The Appeal and Power of Poetics (Luke 1:1-4): Luke’s Superior Credentials (παρηκολουθηκότι), Narrative Sequence (καθεξῆς) and Firmness of Understanding (ἡ ἀσφάλεια) for the Reader’, in: D.P. Moessner (ed.), *Jesus and the Heritage of Israel: Luke’s Narrative Claim upon Israel’s Legacy*, Harrisburg 1999, 84-123.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘The Lukan Prologues in the Light of Ancient Narrative Hermeneutics, παρηκολουθηκότι and the Credentialled Author’, in: J. Verheyden (ed.), *The Unity of Luke-Acts* (BETL 142), Leuven 1999, 399-417.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘“Ministers of Divine Providence”: Diodorus Siculus and Luke the Evangelist on the Rhetorical Significance of the Audience in Narrative “Arrangement”, in: S.H. Ringe e.a. (ed), *Literary Encounters with the Reign of God*, New York 2004, 304-323.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘Reading Luke’s Gospel as Ancient Hellenistic Narrative’, in: C.G. Bartholomew e.a., *Reading Luke: Interpretation, Reflection, Formation* (SHS 6), Grand Rapids 2005, 125-151.
- D.P. Moessner, ‘The Triadic Synergy of Hellenistic Poetics in the Narrative Epistemology of Dionysius of Halicarnassus and the Authorial Intent of the Evangelist Luke (Luke 1:1-4; Acts 1:18)’, *Neot* 42/2 (2008), 289-203.
- D.P. Moessner, *Luke the Historian of Israels Legacy, Theologian of Israel’s Christ* (BZNW 182), Berlin 2016.

- J. Moles, Luke's Preface: The Greek Decree, Classical Historiography and Christian Redefinitions, *NTS* 57 (2011), 461-482.
- R. Morgenthaler, *Die lukanische Geschichtsschreibung als Zeugnis: Gestalt und Gehalt der Kunst des Lukas*. 1. Teil: Gestalt, 2. Teil: Gehalt (ATHANT 14), Zürich 1949.
- N. Morley, *Writing Ancient History*, London 2002.
- H. Mulder, 'Theofilus, de „Godvrezende“', in: N.J. Hommes e.a (red.), *Arcana Revelata*. Fs. F.W. Grosheide, Kampen 1951, 77-88.
- C.G. Müller, 'διήγησις nach Lukas: Zwischen historiographischem und biographischem Erzählen', in: *Lukas als Erzähler und Charakterzeichner: Gesammelte Studien zum lukanischen Doppelwerk* (HBS 69), Freiburg im Breisgau 2012, 1-51.
- F. Mussner, 'Die Gemeinde des Lukasprologs', in: W.C. Weinrich (ed.), *The New Testament Age*. Fs. B. Reicke, Macon 1974, 373-392.
- F. Mussner, 'καθεξῆς im Lukasprolog', in: E.E. Ellis & E. Gräßer (eds.), *Jesus und Paulus*. Fs. W.G. Kümmel, Göttingen 1975, 253-255.
- A.E. Nielsen, 'The Purpose of the Lucan Writings with Particular Reference to Eschatology', in: P. Luomanen (ed.), *Luke-Acts: Scandinavian Perspectives* (PFES 54), Göttingen 1991, 76-93.
- S.J. Noorda, 'De geschiedenisboeken van Lukas', *Schrift* 90 (1983), 219-226.
- S.J. Noorda, 'In het belang van bijbellezers', *MAW* 11/4 (1992), 13-20.
- S.J. Noorda, 'Over Teofilus en andere lezers', *Schrift* 150 (dec. 1993), 157-192.
- T. O'Loughlin, 'Does Luke 1:2 Throw Light on to the Book Practices of the Late First-Century Churches', in: H. Houghton (ed.), *Early Readers, Scholars and Editors of the New Testament*, Piscataway 2014, 1-15.
- D.W. Palmer, 'Acts and Ancient Historical Monograph', in: B.W. Winter & A.D. Clarke, *The Book of Acts in its First Century Setting. Vol. I: Ancient Literary Setting*, Grand Rapids 1993, 1-29.
- K. Papademetriou, 'Illustrating the Meaning of the w. *anataxasthai* and its Sense in the Preface of Luke (Lk 1:1)', *Estudios Bíblicos* 74 (2016), 365-387.
- M. Parsons, 'Luke 1:1-4 and Ancient Rhetoric', in: *Luke: Storyteller, Interpreter, Evangelist*, Peabody 2007, 40-50.
- T. Penner, *In Praise of Christian Origins: Stephen and the Hellenists in Lukan Apologetic Historiography* (Emory Studies in Early Christianity), New York & London 2004.
- R.I. Pervo, 'Must Luke and Acts Belong to the Same Genre?' *SBLSP* 1989, 309-316.
- R.I. Pervo, 'Israel's Heritage and Claims upon the Genre(s) of Luke-Acts', in: D.P. Moessner (ed.), *Jesus and the Heritage of Israel: Luke's Narrative Claim upon Israel's Legacy*, Harrisburg 1999, 127-143.
- L. Pitcher, *Writing Ancient History: An Introduction to Classical Historiography*, London 2009.
- A.W. Pitts, *History, Biography, and the Genre of Luke-Acts: An Exploration of Literary Divergence in Greek Narrative Discourse* (BIS 177), Leiden 2019.
- I.I. Du Plessis, 'Once More: The Purpose of Luke's Prologue (Lk 1,1-4)', *NovT* 16 (1974), 259-271.
- D. Plooij, 'The Work of St. Luke: A Historical Apology for Pauline Preaching before the Roman Court', *The Expositor* 8/6 (1914), 511-523.
- E. Plümacher, 'Lukas als Griechischer Historiker', *RE Sup.*, München 1974, 14.235-264.
- E. Plümacher, 'Luke as Historian', *ABD* (1992), 4.397-402.
- E. Plümacher, 'Die Apostelgeschichte als historische Monographie', in: *Geschichte und Geschichten* (WUNT 170), Tübingen 2004, 1-14.
- E. Plümacher, 'Wirklichkeitserfahrung und Geschichtsschreibung bei Lukas: Erwägungen zu den Wirkstücken der Apostelgeschichte', in: *Geschichte und Geschichten* (WUNT 170), Tübingen 2004, 85-108.
- E. Plümacher, 'Stichwort: Lukas, Historiker', *ZNT* 9 [Heft 18] (2006), 2-8.
- S.E. Porter, 'The Genre of Acts and the Ethics of Discourse', in: T.E. Phillipps (ed.), *Acts and Ethics* (NTM 9), Sheffield 2005, 1-15.
- S.M. Praeder, 'Luke-Acts and the Ancient Novel', *SBLSP* 1981, 269-192.
- C. Puskas, *The Genres of the Gospels and Acts* (zie www.academia.edu).
- M. Reasoner, 'The Theme of Acts: Institutional History or Divine Necessity', *JBL* 118 (1999), 635-659.
- N.A. Riemsma, 'Lucas als geschiedschrijver', in: F. Noël & P. Kevers (red.), *Het Lucasevangelie*, Leuven & Den Haag, 27-54.
- H. Riley, *Preface to Luke*, Macon 1993.

- V.K. Robbins, ‘The Claims of the Prologues and Greco-Roman Rhetoric: The Prefaces to Luke and Acts in the Light of Greco-Roman Rheorical Strategies’, in: D.P. Moessner (ed.), *Jesus and the Heritage of Israel: Luke's Narrative Claim upon Israel's Legacy*, Harrisburg 1999, 63-83.
- V.K. Robbins, ‘Prefaces in Greco-Roman Biography and Luke-Acts’, *PRS* 6/2 (1979), 94-108.
- A.T. Robertson, ‘The Implications in Luke’s Preface’, *ExpT* 35 (1923-1924), 319-321.
- J.H. Ropes, ‘St. Luke’s Preface, ἀσφάλεια and παρηκολουθηκότι’, *JTS* 25 (1924), 67-71.
- K. Rosen, ‘The Historian and the Gospels’, *Acta Classica* 42 (1999), 139-154.
- C.K. Rothchild, *Luke-Acts and the Rhetoric of History: An Investigation of Early Christian Historiography* (WUNT II/175), Tübingen 2004.
- E. Samain, ‘Le notion de ARXH dans l’oeuvre lucanienne’, in: F. Neirynck (ed.), *L’Evangile de Luc – The Gospel of Luke* (BETL 32), Leuven 1989, 209-238.
- D.D. Schmidt, ‘Rhetorical Influences and Genre: Luke’s Preface and the Rhetoric of Hellenistic Historiography’, in: D.P. Moessner (ed.), *Jesus and the Heritage of Israel: Luke's Narrative Claim upon Israel's Legacy*, Harrisburg 1999, 27-60
- P. Schmidt, ‘Theologie is een verhaal: Is het verhaal enkel theologie? Lucas als historicus en theoloog’, in: P. Schmidt (red.), *Eén Auteur, Twee Boeken: Lucas en de Handelingen van de Apostelen*, Leuven e.a. 1992, 37-53.
- G. Schneider, ‘Der Zweck des lukanischen Doppelwerks’, *BZ* 21 (1977), 45-66.
- G. Schneider, ‘Zur Bedeutung von καθεξῆς im lukanischen Doppelwerk’, in: *Lukas: Theologe der Heilsgeschichte: Aufsätze zum lukanischen Doppelwerk* (BBB 59), Bonn 1985, 31-34.
- J. Schröter, ‘Lukas als Historiograph: Das lukanische Doppelwerk und die Entdeckung der christlichen Heilsgeschichte’, in: E.-M. Becker (Hg.), *Die antike Historiographie und die Anfänge der christlichen Geschichtsschreibung* (BZNW 129), Berlin & New York 2005, 237-262.
- H. Schürmann, ‘Evangelienhandschrift und kirchliche Unterweisung: Die repräsentative Funktion der Schrift nach Lk 1,1-4’, in: G. Braumann (Hg.), *Das Lukasevangelium: Die Redaktions- und Kompositionsgeschichtliche Forschung* (WB 280), Darmstadt 1974, 135-169.
- F. van Segbroeck, ‘Lucas en zijn bronnen: slaafs of creatief, trouw of eigengereid’, in: P. Schmidt (red.), *Eén Auteur, Twee Boeken: Lucas en de Handelingen van de Apostelen*, Leuven e.a. 1992, 23-36.
- C. Shuttleworth Kraus, *The Limits of Historiography: Genre and Narrative in Ancient Historical Texts* (MS 191), Leiden 1999.
- N. Siffer-Wiederhold, ‘Le projet littéraire de Luc d’après le prologue de l’évangile’, *RSR* 79 (2005), 39-54.
- D.L. Smith & Z.L. Kostopoulos, ‘Biography, History and the Genre of Luke-Acts’, *NTS* 63/3 (2017), 390-410.
- J.M. Smith, *Why Bios? On the Relationship between Gospel Genre and Implied Audience* (LNTS 518), London 2015.
- D.J. Sleen, ‘An Exegesis of Luke 1:1-4 with Special Regard to Luke’s Purpose as a Historian’, *ExpT* 83 (1971-1972), 40-43.
- P. Stadter, ‘Biography and History’, in: J. Marincola (ed.) *A Companion to Greek and Roman Historiography*, Malden 2007, 528-540.
- R. Stein, ‘Luke 1:1-4 und Traditionsgeschichte’, *JETS* 26/4 (1983), 421-430.
- G.E. Sterling, *Historiography and Self-Definition: Josephos, Luke-Acts and Apologetic Historiography* (NovTS 64), Atlanta 1992.
- G.E. Sterling, ‘The Jewish Appropriation of Hellenistic Historiography’, in: J. Marincola (ed.) *A Companion to Greek and Roman Historiography*, Malden 2007, 231-243.
- R. Strelan, ‘A Note on ἀσφάλεια (Luke 1.4)’, *JSNT* 39 (2007), 163-171.
- C.H. Talbert, *What Is a Gospel? The Genre of the Canonical Gospels*, London 1978.
- C.H. Talbert, *Literary Patterns, Theological Themes, and the Genre of Luke-Acts*, Missoula 1987.
- J. Taylor, ‘The Acts of the Apostles as Biography’, in: B. McGing & J. Mossman (ed.), *The Limits of Ancient Biography*, Swansea 2006, 77-88.
- W. Übelacker, ‘Das Verhältnis von Lk/Apg zum Markusevangelium’, in: P. Luomanen (ed.), *Luke-Acts: Scandinavian Perspectives* (PFES 54), Göttingen 1991, 157-194.
- W.C. van Unnik, ‘Opmerkingen over het doel van Lucas’ geschiedwerk (Luc. 1:4)’, *NTT* 9 (1954-1955), 323-331.
- W.C. Unnik, ‘The ‘Book of Acts’. The Confirmation of the Gospel’, *NovT* 4 (1960), 26-59.
- W.C. van Unnik, ‘Once More St. Luke’s Prologue’, *Neot* 7 (1973), 7-26.

- W.C. van Unnik, ‘Luke’s Second Book and the Rules of Hellenistic Historiography’, in: J. Kremer (ed.), *Les Actes des Apôtres: Traditions, rédaction, théologie* (BETL 48), Gembloux 1979, 37-60.
- S. Uytanlet, *Luke-Acts and Jewish Historiography: A Study on the Theology, Literature and Ideology of Luke- Acts* (WUNT II/366), Tübingen 2014.
- E. Vogel, ‘Zu Luk. 1,4’, *NKZ* 44 (1933), 203-205.
- A. Vögtle, ‘Was hatte die Widmung des lukanischen Doppelwerks an Theophilos zu bedeuten? ’ in: idem, *Das Evangelie und die Evangelien: Beiträge zu Evangelienforschung*, Düsseldorf 1971, 31-42.
- M. Völkel, ‘Exegetische Erwägungen zum Verständnis des Begriffs καθεξῆς im lukanischen Prolog’, *NTS* 20 (1973), 289-299.
- W.S. Vorster, ,Der Ort der Gattung Evangelium in der Literaturgeschichte‘, *VuF* 29 (1984), 2-25.
- C.W. Votaw, ‘The Gospels and Contemporary Biographies’, *AJT* 19 (1915), 45-73.217-249.
- U. Walter (Hg.), *Antike Geschichtsschreibung: Studien zur Historiographie*, Darmstadt 2007.
- S. Walton, ‘What Are the Gospels? Richard Burridge’s Impact on Scholarly Understanding of the Genre of the Gospels’, *CBR* 14 (2015), 81-93.
- P. Wargnies, ‘Théophile ouvre l’évangile (Luc 1-4)’, *NRT* 125 (2003), 77-88.
- H. Welzen, ‘Spiritualiteit in het Lucasevangelie: geschiedenis en bevrijding’, *Acta Theologica* 32/2 (2012), 299-320.
- H. Welzen, ‘*The Revelatory Text* and the prologue of the Gospel of Luke’, *HTS* 74/3 (2018), 1-9.
- D. Wenham, ‘The Purpose of the Luke-Acts: Israels Story in the Context of Roman Empire’, in: C.G. Bartholomew e.a. (eds.), *Reading Luke: Interpretation, Reflection, Formation* (TSHS), Grand Rapids 2005, 79-103.
- G.H. Whitaker, ‘The Philology of St. Luke’s Preface’, *The Expositor* 7 (1920), 262-272.
- M. Wolter, ‘Die Proömien des lukanischen Doppelwerks (Lk 1,1-4 und Apg 1,1-2)’, in: J. Frey e.a. (Hg.), *Die Apostelgeschichte im Kontext antiker und frühchristlicher Historiographie* (BZNW 162), Berlin & New York 2009, 476-494.
- K. Yamada, ‘The Preface to the Lucan Writings and Rhetorical Historiography’, in: S.E. Porter & D.L. Stamps (ed.), *The Rhetorical Interpretation of Scripture: Essays from the 1996 Malibu Conference*, Sheffield 1999, 154-172.

21 oktober 2019
 Nico Riemersma